Employer cette base pour produire une TRĖS courte présentation sur l'intérêt des estimé énergétique
Fermi problem ou Estimation de Fermi, problème original du piano, wiki Combien d'enfants sur terre sont actuellement en train de rire à faire couler du lait par leu Refaire (réemployer) le calcul de puissance consommée par l'humanité. Consommation de fuel Biofuel from waste. Energy storage Changement climatique
 Livre de Goldreich, Mahajan, Phinney: What is the annual cost of lighting the streets of Pasadena (Montreal), California? 1M\$! $100000 \$ / a n$ ok, 10M\$/an ok mais pas $10000 \$$ ni 100M\$/an.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Peut-on faire mieux? Tom Murphy } \\
& \text { UCSD Physics/CASS }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inspired By...

- Famous physicists like Fermi and Feynman frequently formulated fantastic feats of estimation
- optional: "estimation" $\rightarrow$ "finagling figures"
- Best course I ever took: Order of Magnitude Physics at Caltech
- team-taught by Peter Goldreich and Sterl Phinney
- Estimation and Scaling in Physics (UCSD Phys 239)
- team-taught by Fuller, Diamond, Murphy spring 2010, spring 2012


## Our Trajectory Today

- Fermi problems
- Materials properties
- Some time in the clouds
- Fuel economy of cars
- Energy scales (biofuels, waste, storage)
- Climate Change


## Color Coding to Clarify

- Black: generic
- Orange-brown italics: emphasis
- Red: assumptions
- Blue: constants/knowledge
- Purple: results
- A note on numbers:
$-\pi=3=\operatorname{sqrt}(10)=10 / 3$
- $2 \neq 3$, but $8 \approx 9$
- $c, e, h, k_{B}, m_{p}, m_{e}, \sigma, G, N_{A}, \mu_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}, R_{E}, M_{E}, r_{A u}$, etc. by memory


## Fermi Problems

- How many piano tuners in Chicago?
- How many molecules from Julius Caesar's last breath do you draw in on each breath?
- How far does a car travel before a one-molecule layer is worn from the tire?
- How many laser pointers would it take to visibly illuminate the Moon?
- How heavy is a typical cloud?
- Book: Guesstimation (by Weinstein and Adam)



## Example Fermi Problem

- How many kids are laughing so hard right now that milk (or cultural equivalent) is streaming out of their noses?
- 7 billion people in world
- life expectancy: 60 years
- vulnerable age: 4 to $10 \rightarrow 10 \%$ of life $\rightarrow 700$ million at risk
- half of people have had this experience $\rightarrow 350 \mathrm{M}$ at risk
- once-in-lifetime event, 10 sec duration $\rightarrow 10 /\left(6 \times \pi \times 10^{7}\right)$
- $350 \mathrm{M} \times 0.5 \times 10^{-7} \approx 20$


## Fermi Approach Applied to Exponentials




Sum of all forms of energy used in the U.S. (fossil fuels, nuclear, hydro, wood, etc.)
Red curve is exponential at $2.9 \%$ per year growth rate
World is at 12 TW now; pick $2.3 \%$ rate, mapping to $10 \times$ per 100 yrs.

## Extrapolating at $10 \times$ per Century

Global power demand at 2.3\% growth


## Waste Heat Boils Planet (not Global Warming)



Straightforward application of $\sigma T^{4}$ radiative disposal of heat

## Materials Properties

- Heat Capacity
- Thermal Conductivity
- Strength of materials
- Thermal Expansion
- All from knowledge of bond strength (eV scale), atomic number, density, $k T$ at room temperature ( $1 / 40 \mathrm{eV}$ )


## Heat Capacity

- $3 / 2$ kT per particle
- derivative is just $3 k / 2$, Joules per Kelvin per particle
- Want $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{kg}$
- 1 kg has $1000 N_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{A}$ particles
- $c_{\mathrm{p}}=1500 \times N_{\mathrm{A}} \times \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{A} \approx 12000 / \mathrm{A} \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{kg}$
- Note $N_{\mathrm{A}} \times k=6 \times 10^{23} \times 1.4 \times 10^{-23} \approx 8(R=8.3 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K}$ ideal gas constant)
- Since most of our world has $A \approx 10-50, c_{p} \approx 200-1000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{kg}$
- Can get thermal conductivity for gas using mean-free-path and relating to diffusion equation


## Mechanics of Solids: Potential



## Getting the Elastic Modulus

$$
V=4 \varepsilon\left(\frac{r-a}{a}\right)^{2}-\varepsilon \rightarrow F=-\frac{d V}{d r}=-\frac{8 \varepsilon}{a}\left(\frac{r-a}{a}\right)=-\frac{8 \varepsilon}{a^{2}} \delta r
$$

- Associate spring constant with $8 \varepsilon / a^{2}$
- Have one spring per area $a^{2}$, so stress (force per area) is

$$
\sigma=\frac{F}{A}=\frac{8 \varepsilon}{a^{4}} \delta r=\frac{8 \varepsilon}{a^{3}} \frac{\delta r}{a}=E \epsilon
$$

- Associate elastic modulus, $E$, with $8 \varepsilon / a^{3}$
- For $\varepsilon \approx 1 \mathrm{eV}=1.6 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} ; a \approx 2 \AA\left(2 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}\right)$
- can get $a$ from density and atomic number
- $E \approx\left(8 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19}\right) /\left(8 \times 10^{-30}\right)=160 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~Pa}(160 \mathrm{GPa})$
- right in line with many materials


## Drop a Coffee Mug: how many pieces?

- Model as cylinder 0.1 m by $0.1 \mathrm{~m}, t=0.005 \mathrm{~m}$ wall thickness
- Volume $0.3 \times 0.1 \times 0.005=1.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{3} ; 2000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \rightarrow 0.3$ kg
- From 1 meter, 3 J of energy
- $f=10 \%$ goes to breaking bonds ( $W=0.3 \mathrm{~J}$ )
- the rest to heat in ringing pieces
- kinetic energy of pieces
- Number of bonds broken: $W / \varepsilon$
- Area per bond $\approx a^{2}$
- Area of fractured zone: $W a^{2} / \varepsilon$
$-A \approx\left(0.3 \times 4 \times 10^{-20}\right) /\left(1.6^{-19}\right) \approx 7.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
- fracture length, $L=A / t=15$ meters



## Coffee Mug, Part 2

- Have fracture Length, $L$; say it breaks into $N$ square chunks, side length l
- Each square has $2 \ell$ length of unique breakage it can claim
- don't want to double-count
- $2 \mathrm{Nl}=L \approx 15 \mathrm{~m}$
- Total mug area is $N \ell^{2}=(0.3 \mathrm{~m}) \times(0.1 \mathrm{~m})=0.03 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
- Solve for $\ell=\left(0.03 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) /(7.5 \mathrm{~m})=0.004 \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{~mm}$
- $N \approx 2000$

$$
N=\frac{f^{2} \rho^{2} V g^{2} h^{2} a^{4}}{4 \varepsilon^{2} t}
$$

## Cloud Computing

- How much does a cloud weigh?
- Nice illustration of multiple techniques/angles often possible in attacking physics problems
- Will work on two aspects:
- (over) density of clouds droplet size


## Giant Thunderstorm

- Imagine a towering cumulonimbus, 10 km tall $(30,000 \mathrm{ft})$ dumps all of its water
- Expect you'll record something like 1-10 inches of rain
- let's say 0.1 m
- Each square meter has 100 kg (cubic meter is 1000 kg )
- In 10 km cloud column: $(100 \mathrm{~kg}) /\left(10,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)=0.01 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
- about 1\% of air density


## Bumpy Ride

- Airplanes fly into clouds all the time
- Sometimes bumpy due to turbulent convection
- But no noticeable horizontal deceleration on hitting the wall
- Drag force goes like $1 / 2 \rho c_{D} A v^{2}$, where $\rho$ is density of medium
- Drag force is about $5 \%$ of lift force (picture aerodynamic flow)
- If cloud density were $10 \%$ that of air, drag would surge by $10 \%$
- would correspond to $0.5 \% \mathrm{~g}$
- sudden onset would be very noticeable
- So cloud density << 10\% air density
- Lift also proportional to density, so vertical more sensitive


## Saturation Pressure

- Gas phase occupies 22 liters/mole at STP
- but vapor pressure exponentially suppressed at temperatures below boiling point (Maxwell-Boltzmann tail)
- another view: $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ saturation pressure is 760 Torr; $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 17.5$ Torr
- results in density ratio $(17.5 / 760) \times(18 / 29)=1.5 \%$
- less than this at actual temperatures at base of cloud (where condensation begins)
- Can go through order-of-magnitude process too
- balance rates of entry/exit at liquid/vapor interface using MaxwellBoltzmann tail


## Droplet Size from Terminal Velocity

- Particles must be small enough that terminal velocity is very small
- pick $10 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ (easily overcome by air currents)
- Stokes drag regime: $F_{\mathrm{d}}=6 \pi \rho_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v} \sim$
- $6 \pi$ is an enemy of the order-of-magnitude scaling approach
- $r$ and $v$ are droplet radius and velocity; $\rho_{a}$ and $v$ are density and kinematic viscosity ( $\approx 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ for air)
- Set equal to $m g=4 \rho_{\mathrm{w}} r^{3} g$ to get $r$ :
$-r^{2}=1.5 \pi\left(\rho_{\mathrm{a}} / \rho_{\mathrm{w}}\right)(w / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{g}) \approx 6 \times 10^{-3} \times 10^{-5} \times 10^{-1} / 10=6 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$-r \approx 25$ microns
- Check Reynolds number: $\operatorname{Re}=r v / v \approx\left(10^{-5} \times 10^{-2}\right) / 10^{-5}=10^{-2}$
- safely under 1, so in Stokes (viscous) regime


## Droplet Size from Optical Depth of Fog

- Flying in cloud (or driving in heavy fog), might have a 5 m limit to line of sight
- mean-free path: $\lambda=1 / n \sigma$
$-n$ is space density, $\sigma$ is cross section ( $\pi r^{2}$ )
- using $1 \%$ air density, $\rho_{c}=4 \rho_{w} r^{3} n \approx 0.01 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \rightarrow n=1 / 4\left(\rho_{\mathrm{c}} / \rho_{\mathrm{w}}\right) r^{-3}$
- Putting pieces together, $r \approx \lambda\left(\rho_{\mathrm{c}} / \rho_{\mathrm{w}}\right) \approx 5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$
- 50 microns


## Droplet Size Inferred from Rainbows

- We see rainbows when rain drops are present, but not against clouds
- Why not? Still spherical droplets with refractive dispersion
- the same geometry works
- Problem is diffraction: $\lambda / D$ is too small
- washes out pattern
- Rainbow width is about $1^{\circ}$, or 0.017 radians
- need $\lambda / D \gg 0.02$ to wash out pattern
$-\mathrm{D} \ll 50 \lambda \approx 25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


## Multiple Approaches Penetrate the Fog

- The cloud examples illustrate the value of multiple approaches
- corroborate understanding
- Bring to bear loads of common-sense observations
- many of us already know these things, even if we didn't think we did
- Helps to ask yourself what range of direct experiences you have with the matter at hand
- what handles can you invent?


## Is 100 MPG from gasoline possible?

- At freeway speeds, mainly fight drag: $F_{d}=1 / 2 \rho c_{D} A v^{2}$
$-\rho=1.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, c_{\mathrm{D}} \approx 0.3, A \approx 2.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}, v=30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
$-F_{d} \approx 400 \mathrm{~N}$
- Rolling resistance is about $0.01 \mathrm{mg} \approx 100 \mathrm{~N}$ (indep. of $v$ )
- Net 500 N
- A gallon of gasoline ( $3 \mathrm{~kg} \times 10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{g} \times 4.18 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kcal}$ ) contains about 130 MJ of energy
- Used at $\sim 25 \%$ efficiency in internal combustion engine
- $W=F \times d \rightarrow d=30 \mathrm{MJ} / 500 \mathrm{~N}=60 \mathrm{~km} \approx 35$ miles
- 100 MPG from gasoline at freeway speeds is super-hard
- need a factor of four improvement in drag piece, for instance


## Corn Ethanol Or Bust

- Let's calculate how much land we need to replace oil
- an lowa cornfield is $1.5 \%$ efficient at turning incident sunlight into stored chemical energy
- the conversion to ethanol is at best 30\% efficient
- assuming 1.4:1 ratio, and using corn ethanol to power farm equipment and ethanol production itself
- growing season is only part of year (say $50 \%$ )
- net is $0.23 \%$ efficient $(1.5 \% \times 30 \% \times 50 \%)$
- need $40 \%$ of $10^{20} \mathrm{~J}$ per year $=4 \times 10^{19} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{yr}$ to replace petroleum
- this is $1.3 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~W}$ : thus need $6 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~W}$ input (at $0.23 \%$ )
- $350 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ summer insolation, need $2 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, or $(1,400 \mathrm{~km})^{2}$ of land
- that's a square $1,400 \mathrm{~km}$ on a side; as a lower limit


## What does this amount of land look like?



We don't have this much arable land! And where do we grow our food?

## Wasted Energy?

- A recent article at PhysOrg touted a methane reclamation scheme from sewage in the L.A. area
- Quotes from within article:
- "This is a paradigm shift. We'll be truly fuel-independent and no longer held hostage by other countries. This is the epitome of sustainability, where we're taking an endless stream of human waste and transforming it to transportation fuel and electricity. This is the first time this has ever been done."
- "a third of all cars on the road in the U.S. could eventually be powered by 'biogas,' made from human waste, plant products and other renewable elements."


## Do the Math

- Human metabolism is about $2000 \mathrm{kcal} /$ day $\approx 100 \mathrm{~W}$
- We're pretty good at extracting metabolic energy from food
- let's be generous and say we forfeit as much as $10 \%$ in our poop
- that's 10 W per person
- In the U.S., we each consume $10,000 \mathrm{~W}$ of continuous energy
- $40 \%$, or $4,000 \mathrm{~W}$ is from oil
- $60 \%$ of this, or $2,400 \mathrm{~W}$, is imported
- So we could at most expect to replace $0.4 \%$ of our foreign oil by powering our cars with human waste


## Energy Storage

- A major transition away from fossil fuels to solar, wind, etc. will require massive storage solutions
- The cheapest go-to solution for stand-alone systems has been lead-acid batteries
- but national battery would be a cubic mile, and require more lead than is estimated to exist in global resources (let alone proven reserves)
- We can use estimation techniques to evaluate possible solutions
- focus on home-scale solutions
- scale will be 100 kWh of storage (3 days elec. for average American)
- explore gravitational, batteries, compressed air, flywheels


## Gravitational Storage

- Hoisting rocks or pumping tanks of water: low tech approach
- A rechargeable AA battery ( $1.5 \mathrm{~V}, 2 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{h} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~Wh} \approx 10 \mathrm{~kJ}$ )
- Hoisting mass on 3 m derrick: need 300 kg to match AA battery
- gravitational storage is incredibly weak
- 100 kWh , in menacing 10 m high water tower, needs $3600 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
- 15 meters on a side
- oops


## Lead-Acid Batteries

- Each reaction involves a Pb atom in the anode, a $\mathrm{PbO}_{2}$ molecule in the cathode, and two electrons at 2 eV each
- $100 \mathrm{kWh}\left(3.6 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~J}\right)$ needs $10^{27} \mathrm{~Pb}$ atoms
- 1700 moles; 355 kg of lead; might guess $4 \times$ realistic
- real batteries would have 1500 kg of lead ( 2500 kg total battery mass)
- 2500 kg at $2.5 \times$ density of water $\rightarrow 1$ cubic meter
- will cost \$15,000
- actually, the cheapest, most compact of the four we're considering
- For U.S. to go full solar/wind requires significant storage
- not enough lead in world resources (let alone reserves) to build for U.S.


## Compressed Air

- Charged to 200 atm , energy is $P_{0} V_{0} \ln \left(P_{f} / P_{0}\right)=5.3 P_{0} V_{0}$
- simple integration of $P d V=N k T(d V / V)$
- $P_{0}=10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$
- Need $5.3 \times 10^{5} V_{0}=100 \mathrm{kWh}=3.6 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~J}$
- $V_{0}=700 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
- $V_{f}=3.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
- cube 1.5 meters on a side



## Flywheel

- Solid cylinder: $I=1 / 2 M R^{2}$
- Edge velocity, $v \rightarrow \omega=v / R ; E=1 / 2 / \omega^{2}=1 / 4 M v^{2}$
- Pick edge velocity $v=300 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
- Need 16 ton mass
- At density of steel, this is 2 cubic meters
- e.g., 2 meters high; 1.2 meter diameter
- acceleration at edge; $v^{2} / R$ is $16,000 \mathrm{~g}$
- break-up: exceeds mechanical strength
- need larger, slower to be safe: 2.5 m diameter, $125 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
- $10 \mathrm{~m}^{3} ; 80$ tons $\rightarrow 1250 \mathrm{~g}$

can get 25 kWh
unit $2 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}, \$ 100 \mathrm{k}$


## Heck: Just use a generator!

- Each gallon of gasoline contains 36.6 kWh of thermal energy
- Home Depot generator probably $15 \%$ efficient
- seems like the rest comes out in noise!
- about 5 kWh of electricity per gallon
- For 100 kWh , need 20 gallons ( 75 liters) of gasoline
- gasoline: $0.075 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
- lead acid: 1.0 m$^{3}$
- compressed air: $3.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
- flywheel: $10 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
- water/grav at $10 \mathrm{~m}: 3600 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
- Hard to beat fossil fuels!


## The Rise of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$



Charles Keeling (SIO), started measuring atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from Mauna Loa in Hawaii in 1958. Besides the annual photosynthetic cycle, a profound trend is seen.

## Is this rise surprising?

- Every gram of fossil fuel used produces 3 grams of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$
- it's straight chemistry: to get the energy out via combustion, the carbon from the hydrocarbon gets attached to oxygen and off it goes
- How much should we expect?
- global energy budget is $4 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{yr}$; pretend all from fossil fuels
- average $10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{gram} \rightarrow \sim 40,000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{gram} \rightarrow 10^{16} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{yr}$ F.F.
- so $3 \times 10^{16} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{yr} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \rightarrow 3 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr} \mathrm{CO} 2$
- atmosphere has mass $=5.3 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~kg} \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adds $5.7 \mathrm{ppm} / \mathrm{yr}$ by mass
- about $3.7 \mathrm{ppm} / \mathrm{yr}$ by volume $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right.$ is $44 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ vs. 29 for air)
- $50 / 50$ to ocean/atmosphere, atmospheric rise is $1.85 \mathrm{ppm} / \mathrm{yr}$, by volume
- this is darn close to what we see on the "Keeling curve" graph


## Total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ rise

- We can do the same thing for the entire fossil fuel history
- have gone through 1 trillion barrels of oil $\rightarrow 140$ Gtoe
- Gtoe is gigaton ( $10^{9}$ ton) oil equivalent (by energy)
- used about 160 Gtoe coal worldwide
- using 40 Gtoe U.S. times four, since U.S. uses $25 \%$ of world energy
- used 1037 tcf natural gas in U.S. $\rightarrow 27$ Gtoe, so guess 100 Gtoe worldwide
-400 Gtoe of fossil fuels $\rightarrow 1.2 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~kg}$ of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ( $3 \times \mathrm{FF}$ mass)
- 228 ppm of atmosphere by mass; 150 ppm by volume
- half into atmosphere $\rightarrow 75$ ppm increase
- see 100 ppm increase ( 280 ppm pre-industrial to 380 ppm )
- So the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ increase is absolutely expected!


## Expected Temperature Rise

- If you add to the blanket, expect to get warmer
- Applying $\sigma T^{4}$ in radiative equilibrium, Earth is 255 K
- but actual number is 288 K , thanks to 33 K greenhouse effect
- How much warmer?
- We know that $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ greenhouse effect is from $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$
- Have gone from 280 to 385 ppm ( $11 / 8$ times as much, or $3 / 8$ increase)
- This should translate into $7^{\circ} \times 3 / 8=21 / 8=2.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ change
- but takes some time because oceans are slow to respond, having enormous heat capacity
- Should be NO SURPRISE that burning loads of fossil fuels makes us warmer
- not actually hard to understand!


## Summary

- We often know more than we think about a problem
- Real world problems don't come with tidy numbers attached
- Estimation and multiple techniques often fruitful
- Every congressperson should have an estimator on staff
- and LISTEN to them!

